CLIA Corner The University of Iowa Hygienic Laboratory

1904 2004 HYGIENIC 100 Years

First Quarter 2006

Iowa CLIA Surveyors: Nancy Grove, BS, MT(ASCP) & Kristine Rotzoll, BS, MT(ASCP)

In This Issue...

Common Deficiencies
Proficiency Testing
Personnel
Micro Quality Control

Frequently Asked Questions
Waived Testing
Replacement HEW Card

Example Forms

Welcome

Kristi and Nancy want to introduce you to the "new" *CLIA Corner* being delivered to you and your laboratory using e-mail and the Internet. For the new *CLIA Corner* to be successful and effective, we need an up-to-date database of e-mail addresses and information. If you received an e-mail about the *CLIA Corner*, but would like your name removed, please contact us. If you like what you are seeing, please feel free to forward the information about the *CLIA Corner* to your co-workers and fellow laboratorians. If you want to be added to our list or have a change of address, contact either Kristi Rotzoll at *krotzoll@uhl.uiowa.edu* or Nancy Grove at *ngrove@uhl.uiowa.edu*. Please include your name, facility or company name, address, phone and fax numbers, and of course, your e-mail address.

Common Deficiencies

This section will focus on three deficiencies that have been cited frequently at surveys within the last few months. Please keep these deficiencies in mind as you prepare for your next CLIA survey.

#1 - Proficiency Testing

Failure to take and document corrective action for any unsatisfactory, unacceptable, and non-graded proficiency testing scores remains one of the most common deficiencies cited at CLIA surveys.

Unsatisfactory proficiency testing is defined as failure to attain the minimum satisfactory score for an analyte, test, subspecialty, or specialty for a testing event. In most cases, a score less than 80% is considered an unsatisfactory proficiency testing score, with the exception of ABO grouping, Rho typing, and compatibility testing. For these analytes any score of less than 100% is considered an unsatisfactory score.

Unacceptable proficiency testing results are test performance scores where the laboratory has received a passing score (80% in most cases) for a specific analyte, subspecialty or specialty. However, one or more of the individual analytes was incorrect when compared to the program's intended response. It is important to remember that for *ALL* unsatisfactory and unacceptable proficiency testing scores the laboratory is required to take and document corrective action including why the laboratory missed the particular analyte, subspecialty, and/or specialty and what steps are being taken to prevent the problem from reoccurring. We have cited numerous laboratories for failing to take and document corrective action when proficiency testing scores are less than 100%.

Non-graded proficiency testing scores occur when the proficiency testing program fails to evaluate the laboratory's results due to a problem beyond the control of the participant. In order for a proficiency testing program to evaluate a laboratory's proficiency testing results there must be at least ten participants in a particular peer group and at least 80% consensus among the participants.

Many times looking at the proficiency testing summary it appears that the laboratory received 100% for an analyte, but further investigation reveals that the results were not evaluated by the proficiency testing program. When this occurs it is the laboratory's responsibility to perform a self-evaluation, by comparing the results your laboratory submitted to the proficiency testing company with the intended results given in the proficiency testing company's summary. If there appears to be a problem, corrective action must be taken to identify the problem and describe what steps are being taken to prevent the problem from reoccurring. In addition, remember anytime the laboratory receives a score of 0% for either failure to participate in a survey or failure to submit survey results on time, the laboratory is required to perform a self-evaluation and document corrective action when applicable.

#2 - Personnel

Assessing <u>and</u> documenting personnel competency, as required by CLIA, is also a common deficiency. CLIA requires that new laboratory testing personnel receive initial training and orientation and demonstrate competency before performing and reporting patient test results. New testing personnel must again demonstrate competency six months prior to their initial competency evaluation. Thereafter, **annual** competency assessments must be performed on all laboratory testing personnel.

Remember all training, orientation and competency assessments must be <u>documented</u>. In addition, when a new analyzer, test kit, or test system is put into place, the laboratory must undertake and document training for all laboratory personnel who will perform testing.

#3 - Micro Quality Control

The CLIA regulations state at 42 CFR 493.1256, "the laboratory must check each batch, lot number, and shipment of reagents, disks, stains, antisera [except those specifically referenced in §493.1261 (a)(3)] and identification systems when prepared or opened for positive and negative reactivity, as well as graded reactivity, if applicable." Laboratories that use automated microbial identification systems (e.g. Microscan or Vitek) may be failing to check each biochemical reaction on the identification card or panel by using the appropriate number of organisms. Refer to the manufacturer's package insert for guidance as to which organisms should be tested. There should be at least four organisms used to determine the positive and negative biochemical reactivity for both Gram-positive and Gram-negative identification cards and panels. Please remember that these biochemical checks need to be performed at a minimum of once per lot or shipment of identification cards and panels.

Available with this issue are example forms to document proficiency testing corrective action and personnel training and competency. These are **NOT** official or sanctioned CLIA forms, but serve as examples to assist your laboratory in complying with the CLIA regulations. Please feel free to use, modify and revise these forms to fit your facility's needs.

Frequently Asked Questions



What are the CLIA requirements for performing waived testing?

The only CLIA requirement for laboratories performing waived testing is to follow the manufacturer's instructions. The laboratory **must** retain a copy of the **current** manufacturer's package insert for each waived test being performed by the laboratory. Whenever the manufacturer uses phrases such as 'always', 'shall', 'must', and 'required', these are regulatory phrases and the laboratory must follow these instructions. If there are recommendations stated in the package insert (i.e. should, may, or recommend) of the waived test system, it is a good laboratory practice to follow manufacturer's recommendations; however, it is not required by CLIA. Following good laboratory practices will ensure the quality of care you provide your patients. When reading/reviewing the manufacturer's instructions, don't forget to follow the instructions for control and reagent storage and use. For example, the expiration date must be changed when the laboratory or facility opens a new bottle of glucose control used for most waived glucometers. In order to be in compliance with the regulations and follow manufacturer's requirements, the laboratory is required to mark the date on each glucose control bottle when first opened.

Although not required, it is also considered good lab practice for the laboratory to verify the accuracy of the test system (e.g. proficiency testing or split-sample testing), and to perform competency assessments on testing personnel performing waived testing.



I have misplaced my HEW card. How can I obtain a replacement card?

For those of you who are not familiar with HEW cards, the Social Security Amendments of 1972 authorized the Department of Health and Human Services [formerly, Health, Education and Welfare (HEW)] to provide an exam for people who had laboratory experience but did not meet the CLIA education and training requirements. The HEW exam was given four times between 1975 and 1977, then again in 1979, 1983, and 1987. The exam concentrated on clinical chemistry, microbiology, hematology, and blood banking. Individuals who received an acceptable score on the exam were issued an HEW card, and then were qualified to perform high-complexity testing.

For HEW replacement cards contact Fanny Reed at 212.367.4338.

We hope you enjoyed the first issue of the new CLIA Corner. We want to hear from you. If you have any questions or comments, please contact our office by phone at 800.421.IOWA or by e-mail at ngrove@uhl.uiowa.edu or krotzoll@uhl.uiowa.edu.



Proficiency Testing Form

Testing Event:	Specialty/Subspecialty:		
Date Received:	by		
Date Reconstituted:	by		
Date Testing Performed:	by by		
Please answer the following proficien		Yes	No
Was the condition of the specimen acc	ceptable? (no hemolysis, not frozen, etc.)	105	110
Were the results sent to the PT compa			
_			
tory director and/or other designated I			
Have copies been retained of the testi sults and scores from the provider?	ing records, the signed attestation statement, PT re-		
Did the laboratory receive less than 1 List analyte(s):	100% for any analyte, subspecialty, or specialty?		
Did the laboratory have any results the lack of participants or lack of consense List analyte(s):	nat were not graded by the PT company (either due to sus)?		
Did the laboratory receive a score of (0% due to non-participation?		
Did the laboratory receive a score of (0% due to late entry?		
Were results submitted for each regul			
Did the lab verify the accuracy for each	ch non-regulated analyte or for any analyte where		
PT samples are not available for testing	•		
List the non-regulated analyte(s) and	d when the last accuracy verification was performed:		
	wers to the previous questions are in the shaded area(s), d. Please complete the Proficiency Testing Corrective Actio	n Form.	
Form Completed By:	Date:		
Laboratory Supervisor Reveiw:	Date:		
Laboratory Director Review:	Date:		



Proficiency Testing (PT) Corrective Action Form

Testing Event:	
Analyte(s)/Specialty/Subspecialty:	
Reported Result(s):	
Intended Result/Acceptable Range:	
(1) Identification of Problem (e.g., clerical, technical, etc.):	
(2) Corrective Action Taken to Prevent the Problem from Reoccurring	:
Form Completed By:	Date:
Laboratory Supervisor Reveiw:	Date:
Laboratory Director Review:	Date:



LABORATORY PERSONNEL ORIENTATION & TRAINING

Employee Name	Start Date	
-		
Orientation Completion Date	Supervisor/Laboratory Director Review	

	Review	Procedure	Demonstr	ate/Practice	Compe	tency Met
Section/Procedure	Date	Trainer	Date	Trainer	Date	Trainer
General Procedures/Policies						
Personnel Standards						
Specimen Collection, Labeling, Handling						
Safety Manuals						
Reporting Critical/Panic Values						
•				1		
•						
•						
Hematology						
CBC (Coulter AcT)						
QC						
PM						
Calibration						
Reporting Test Results						
Troubleshooting						
Manual Differentials				ļ		ļ
• ESR				<u> </u>		
•						
•						
•						
Coagulation						
 INR/Protime using Sysmex CA-500 						
QC						
PM						
Reporting Test Results Troubleshooting						
Chemistry — Routine				+		
Dade Dimension				+		
QC						
PM						
Calibration						
Calibration verification						
Reporting Test Results						
Troubleshooting				<u> </u>		
Urinalysis				<u> </u>		
Dipstick						
Microscopic						
Microbiology						
Rapid Strep A screen						
Gram Stains						
Influenza A & B test						
•						
Immunology						
Infectious mononucleosis test						
Helicobacter pylori antibody test						



Training Checklist

_	Test/Test System
Trainee Name:	Training Date:
Principles of the	procedure
Contents of each	kit or reagent(s) & storage/expiration requirements
Precautions	
Specimen collect	tion, labeling, handling, & storage requirements
Test procedure(s)
Interpretation and	d reporting test results
Quality control re	equirements
Maintenance/equ	ipment requirements
Calibration/calibr	ration verification
Record retention	(QA, PM, calibration, patient)
Demonstrate con	npetency
Additional Comments	
Trainer Name:	
Training Completed & Co	mpetency Met Date:
Laboratory Director Revie	ewDate



LABORATORY PERSONNEL COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT

Employee Name					Ann	Annual (year)	ear)					Semi-	Semi-Annual (date/year)	l (date	'year)_						
Sompetency Assessor												Date									
aboratory Director Review (as applicable)	:able)											Date									
-												<u> </u>									
Guidelines (Competency based on direct observations	Rapi	Rapid Strep	۵	ΕŞ	Throat Culture		Clini	Urinalysis Clinitek 100		CBC Coulter AcT	oulter	AcT	Ī	Influenza							
& record review)	Yes	No	NA	Yes	No	AN	Yes	No	AN	Yes	No	AN	Yes	No	AN	Yes	No	NA	Yes	N _o	A
Demonstrates good laboratory safety practices, including universal precautions																					
Follows specimen collection, labeling and handling procedures																					
 Properly identifies the specimen before performing test 																					
4. Ensures test request contains required information and corresponds to correct specimen																					
Performs test according to accepted procedure																					
 Performs QC, including evaluation of results & corrective action prior to reporting patient test 																					
 Correctly interprets and reports patient test results & corrective action prior to reporting patient test 																					
8. Documents and retains all testing records and reports																					
 Performs required preventive maintenance & troubleshooting 																					
10. Participates in proficiency testing				-																	

ement
es improv
that requir
reas of employee competency that requires improvem
nployee cc
vreas of er
4

⊆
0
·≅
놞
$\underline{-}$
Q
$\overline{}$
ő
0
Ö
≂
\circ
(1)
date
ത
ñ
_
О
anc
≂
10
\Box
Sar
<u>~~</u>
Ω
_
0
.≌
=
.⊆
7
<u>ت</u>
\vdash